

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/627,844	07/25/2003	Jinhun Joung	2003P07969 US	2648		
7590 09/08/2005			EXAMINER			
Elsa Keller			MALEVIO	MALEVIC, DJURA		
Siemens Corpor	ration perty Department	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
170 Wood Aver		2878	2878			
Iselin, NJ 088	30	DATE MAILED: 09/08/200	DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Н			
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/627,84	14	JOUNG ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
_		Djura Mal	evic	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed	on 25 July 2003						
· <u> </u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
· -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·—		secution as to the	merits is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4a 5)□ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)□ CI	4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	Papers							
10)⊠ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the e drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September</u> oplicant may not request that any object eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	2003 is/are: a)⊠ a ion to the drawing(s) b he correction is requir	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
	f References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) Informat	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Po(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		)-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hase et al. (US Patent 5,099,134).

Regarding claim 28, Hase discloses that a collimator for a scintillator having a septa section includes a plurality of first partition plates arranged at substantially equal intervals and a plurality of second partition plates crossing the first partition plates in a lattice form (grid). The first and second partition plates are made of a material, preferably tungsten or lead alloy (metallic material). Pluralities of focused slits are formed in the first or second partition plates with the other partition plate being fitted in the slits (Abstract).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2878

Claims 1 – 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soluri et al. (US Pub. 2002/0175289 A1) in view of Hase et al. (US patent 5,099,134).

Regarding claims 1 and 19, Soluri discloses a collimator device comprising a grid of collimation square holes (Fig 2), and a reflecting layer 24 with at least a portion of the surfaces of said sheets in which pixellated scintillators 30 are individually located in each of said collimation square holes [0037]. Soluri does not disclose that the square holes are formed by a plurality of sheets, wherein each sheet having evenly spaced slots for insertion of other sheets to form the collimator. Hase teaches that the septa section of the collimator includes a plurality of plates (sheets), made of tungsten or lead alloy, having focused slits for inserting other plates to form the collimator (Abstract). Hase and Soluri are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, collimators.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Soluri to include sheets having evenly spaced slots for inserting other sheets to form a collimator such as that taught by Hase in order to ease assembling and manufacturing (Col. 4, Line 12).

Regarding claims 2 and 20, Soluri discloses that optically reflecting material maximizes light intensity of pixellated scintillators [0045].

Regarding claims 3 and 21, Soluri discloses that the pixellated scintillators are scintillation crystals [0037].

Regarding claims 4 and 22, Soluri discloses that the pixellated scintillators having a square-shaped configuration [0037].

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claims 5-7 and 23-25, Soluri discloses that the pluralities of sheets are formed by tungsten or lead, which have a high density [0033].

Regarding claims 8, 9, 26 and 27, Soluri discloses the use of an optical reflecting material [0039] however; Soluri does not disclose using TiO<sub>2</sub> and MgO as the reflecting material. It would have been obvious to include TiO<sub>2</sub> and MgO as the reflecting material, since it is conventionally used in that environment and would make the reflectance more efficient in view of what is old and well known in the art.

Regarding claim 10, Soluri discloses a collimator device comprising a grid of collimation square holes (Fig 2), and a reflecting layer 24 with at least a portion of the surfaces of said sheets in which pixellated scintillators 30 are individually located in each of said collimation square holes [0037]. Furthermore, Soluri discloses a photomultiplier (detector) coupled to pixilated scintillator [0050]. Soluri does not disclose that the square holes are formed by a plurality of sheets, wherein each sheet having evenly spaced slots for insertion of other sheets to form the collimator. Hase teaches that the septa section of the collimator includes a plurality of plates (sheets), made of tungsten or lead alloy, having focused slits for inserting other plates to form the collimator (Abstract). Hase and Soluri are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, collimators.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Soluri to include sheets having evenly spaced slots for inserting other sheets to form a collimator such as that taught by Hase in order to ease assembling and manufacturing (Col. 4, Line 12).

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claim 11, Soluri discloses that optically reflecting material maximizes light intensity of pixellated scintillators [0045].

Regarding claim 12, Soluri discloses that the pixellated scintillators are scintillation crystals [0037].

Regarding claim 13, Soluri discloses that the pixilated scintillators having a square-shaped configuration [0037].

Regarding claims 14, 15 and 16, Soluri discloses that the pluralities of sheets are formed by tungsten or lead, which have a high density [0033].

Regarding claims 17 and 18, Soluri discloses the use of an optical reflecting material [0039] however; Soluri does not disclose using TiO<sub>2</sub> and MgO as the reflecting material. It would have been obvious to include TiO<sub>2</sub> and MgO as the reflecting material, since it is conventionally used in that environment and would make the reflectance more efficient in view of what is old and well known in the art.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schmand et al. (US Pub. 2004/0140431 A1) discloses a grid array, adapted to receive a plurality of scintillators used in association with an imaging device. Also, Cusano (US Paten 4,187,427) teaches a structure for collimated scintillation detectors using optically reflective material like magnesium oxide, barium sulfate..etc.

Art Unit: 2878

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Djura Malevic whose telephone number is (571) 272-5975. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday between 8:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Djura Malevic Patent Examiner Art Unit 2878 571.272.5975

> DAVID PORTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800